



LWV/LACOUNTY

VOTER MAY 2005

PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE

The League of Women Voters of Los Angeles County in both its values and practices affirms its belief and commitment to diversity, pluralism and affirmative action.

The League of Women Voters welcomes new members, both men and women, who are citizens of voting age. Associate membership is open to all others.

Inside this issue:

Fighting for California's Future p 3
Social Policy-proposed budgets p 4
Universal Pre-School in LACo p 5
Land Use - LACo Taxes p 6

CALENDAR

May 10-LWV/LACo Board Meeting

May 13-Revolving Door?
Correctional System LA County
Hall Administration, 12:30-3 p.m.

May 20- LWVC Biennial
Convention, Garden Groove

July 23-Popovers in Pasadena

Spring is here and with it comes the ACTION season as bills in Sacramento make their way through legislative committees and bills in Washington, D. C., are being heard and voted on in both houses. Action Alerts from LWVUS and Calls to Action from LWVC seem to be competing for attention in my e-mail inbox. As I was typing a letter on SB 840, Senator Kuehl's universal health care bill, an e-mail alert arrived seeking action on the U.S. House Energy Bill that was to be voted on the next day. Thanks to computers, e-mail, and fax the process of writing and delivering opinion letters on issues in which the League has a stake is much easier and faster than in the past. In addition, many more League members can participate in the action process in a timely fashion because alerts can be sent almost immediately to everyone willing to take action.

In the past two weeks I have written personal and official League letters on topics as wide-ranging as Social Security, "Dirty" Energy, public financing of political campaigns in California, redistricting, and universal health care. The LA County League has weighed in on your behalf by writing Governor Schwarzenegger to encourage independent redistricting of California's legislature by a commission only after each decennial census. The LWVC currently supports **ACAXI 5** Canciamilla and Richman, **SCA 3** Lowenthal, and **ACAXI 3** McCarthy, if amended to remove mid-decade implementation. A letter was also sent to Senator Deborah Ortiz, chair of the Senate Health committee, in support of **SB 840**, California Health Insurance Reliability Act, before its hearing on April 20.

I also sent letters on behalf of LWV/LAC to Assemblymen Tom Umberg and Lloyd Levine in support of Assemblywoman Loni Hancock's bill, **AB 583** Clean Money Fair Elections, for public financing of statewide campaigns. Clean Money measures have been adopted in Maine and Arizona and are popular with both candidates and voters. The League has supported public financing for decades.

During the past week I received two phone calls from Governor Schwarzenegger urging me to sign his "Live Within Our Means" budget reform process initiative about which I wrote last month. Instead of throwing away the petition that arrived in the mail, I wrote a lengthy letter explaining why I refused to sign his petition and what he and the Legislature should do to balance the budget in 2006. By seeking to increase general fund revenues, the legislature can achieve a balanced budget, reduce the state debt, and provide necessary health, education and children's services and recoup some of the added wealth that upper income residents have received due to the federal tax cuts.

(Over)

The Los Angeles County League joined the LWVC in signing onto a statement of budget principles and solutions formulated by the Budget Allies, a coalition of social service and social policy organizations that has been fighting the drastic cuts to human services proposed for the budgets of California in 2004 and 2005. The statement, *Fighting for California's Future*, is printed in this issue of the VOTER.

More Calls to Action and Action Alerts will come from our League Advocates and

Legislation Committees as bills and the budget proceed through the legislative process. As County League president I will write on behalf of the League. I hope that local league presidents will also respond officially and forward the lobby requests to members. Fortunately, both Action Alerts and Calls to Action give you the necessary information to use to make an intelligent phone call or to write an e-mail or fax supporting League's position on each bill.

All League members with e-mail accounts can choose to receive LWVC Calls to Action by subscribing to the California League's action/news list, LWVCNews. Send an email to lwvcnews-subscribe@yahoogroups.com. To join the LWVUS Grassroots Lobby Corps and receive Action Alerts and legislative updates go to www.capwiz.com/lwv/xclm/signup. Then you can be part of the League action network that works to promote League positions at the state and national levels.

Be a part of the ACTION!

~~~Margo

---

### COMMENDATION TO CHRIS CARSON

In the April issue of the LWV/LACo VOTER Chris Carson announced her farewell as Editor of the County VOTER. Chris has done a phenomenal job as editor of what was the LWV/LAC Newsletter more recently dubbed the LWV/LACo VOTER. Chris's chief attributes are printing accurate articles and publishing the VOTER on time. In addition she served as the Communication Coordinator who sent out e-mail messages on time sensitive topics to the County Board and Local League Presidents when necessary. The County Board admires and respects Chris for the exceptionally well-done VOTER that she edited for three years. We wish her well in her new job as LWVC Government Director, a job which will keep her very busy for the next two years. She is well qualified to fulfill this position.



### WELCOME TO NEW COUNTY BOARD MEMBERS

Delegates to the LWV LA County Convention in March elected several new members to the County Board. These elected members are Daphne Lake, 2nd Vice-President responsible for Voters Service, Kay White, Secretary, Dorothy Larson, Director responsible for aspects of Voters Service, Arlene Block, Director responsible for Natural Resources, Liz Allen, Director responsible for the VOTER, and Frances Talbott-White, Director responsible for Communications and Smart Voter.

In addition several board members will be continuing in appointed positions. They are Georganne Thomsen, Arrangements, Katherine Dunlap, Archivist and Program Planning Process, Marilee Scaff, Emerita Advisor, Sheila Hoff, Health Care Issues and Carol Slavin, Observer Corps Advisor.

I am very pleased to have these capable individuals working on the board this year. ~Margo

---

### COMMUNITY VOTER OUTREACH COMMITTEE OF THE REGISTRAR'S OFFICE

Many representatives of the LWV/LAC and Local Leagues participate in the LA County Registrar-Recorder's Community Voter Outreach Committee (CVOC.) This committee is designed to inform a variety of groups interested in voting rights issues of the Registrar's plans for elections and to receive input on voting rights issues and activities from those groups. Among the membership in the CVOC are political party

representatives, members of the Supervisors' staffs, representatives from the Secretary of State's and the Attorney General's offices, delegates from the disabilities communities and many ethnic and language communities such as Mexican American Legal Defense and Education Fund (MALDEF), Asian Pacific American Legal Committee (APALC), various Korean

(over)

groups, National Association of Latino Elected Officials (NALEO), the Arsalyn Foundation, and many others.

At the most recent meeting, Conny McCormack, Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk, explained the request she had made to the Board of Supervisors to get proposals for and upgrade to the county's Inka-Vote voting machines to make them comply with state and federal requirements that voting devices be accessible to voters with various special needs and that the accessible ballots be verifiable by the voter and recountable by January 2006. All voting devices in L A County must be accessible to people who do not speak English well, by providing a translated sample ballot and by having instructions and ballots in the required languages available in each polling place. Current legislation having to do with voting rights and voting equipment as well as new registration or identification requirements being proposed are presented and discussed.

In addition to providing a forum for interested groups, the Registrar has set up committees under CVOC to address specific issues. Representatives of the various groups serve on these committees. The Trouble Shooting Committee deals with polling place and election day problems and tries to create solutions to those problems. The Multi-Lingual Committee works on issues having to do with voters who need

bilingual assistance at the polling place, help approve the translations of ballots in text and on the audio Touchscreen ballot, and help recruit multi-lingual pollworkers. The Voters with Special Needs Committee looks at ways to facilitate voting for people with visual, audio, or physical limitations that make the traditional polling place and voting devices inaccessible. The Youth Outreach Committee tries to develop ways to encourage young people to register and vote.

The Youth Outreach Committer is currently working on implementing a pilot project to register all high school seniors in the County. A state law, AB 593, passed in 2003 requires the Secretary of State to make voter registration materials available to all high school graduating seniors and all students graduating from community college each year. Last year many high schools did not even know about the program. This year the Youth Committee is piloting the program with 14 school districts from ABC to Whittier in the County. By contacting the districts, high school principals, and social studies teachers early and providing them with registration forms a month before graduation, it is the hope of the committee that the number of students who register to vote before they graduate will be significant.

~~~Margo Reeg

3/28/05--The League of Women Voters of California has joined other organizations and individuals who are seeking a fair and sustainable solution to California's ongoing budget crisis in endorsing this statement.

Fighting for California's Future

We believe California's budget should invest in California's future:

*We believe in a California that provides high-quality public services for all Californians, protects consumers, the environment, and our quality of life, builds the infrastructure we need to prosper, and that fosters opportunity, economic growth, and strong and vibrant communities.

*We believe that a responsible California budget would keep its commitment to meet the basic needs of our children, our seniors, our families, and our future. We believe there are budget choices beyond cuts.

*We believe in a balanced budget that invests in the future, rather than one that mortgages our future with more borrowing to fill a structural budget gap.

We support a balanced solution to the budget crisis:

*We support a balanced solution to the budget crisis, rather than a budget that seeks to solve the problem only through cuts and borrowing.

*We support raising revenues needed to prevent cuts, including closing tax loopholes, eliminating some of the tax cuts of the last decade, and updating our tax system to reflect our changing economy.

We oppose automatic mechanisms to cut vital and needed services:

*We oppose policies, in the legislature and on the ballot, which would hinder the ability of the State to meet the current and future needs of all Californians.

*We oppose proposals that would grant the Governor new powers to make unilateral cuts; formulas that would impose automatic across-the-board cuts; stricter spending caps; and other policy changes that seek to "starve the public sector" and would damage healthcare, education, and other vital services that all Californians depend on.

LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF CALIFORNIA _ 801 12th STREET _ SUITE 220 _ SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

Proposed State and Federal Budgets Take Aim at Social Policy Programs

Budget proposals offered by both Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger and President George W. Bush envision significant cuts and redesigns in social policy programs as part of their fiscal plans. The California Budget Project (CBP; cbp.org) has prepared an overview of the impact of both budgets in March 2005; the Legislative Analyst(LAO; lao.ca.gov) presents broader analysis of the state budget; and the Coalition on Human Needs (chn.org) has numerous articles and links on the federal budget.

The LAO notes that the "budget proposes total state spending in 2005-06 of \$109 billion.... General Fund spending is projected to increase from \$82.3 billion to \$85.7 billion...." The CBP reports that the governor's proposed budget "outlines \$9.1 billion in 'solutions' that address the state's ongoing budget gap in the current and upcoming years. The Proposed Budget addresses a gap that is largely *structural*.... The Governor balances the budget (\$9.1 billion) using borrowing (\$2.1 billion), deep reductions in education (\$2.8 billion), social services, state employees' compensation, and transportation spending; but no new taxes." Among more specific gap "*solutions*" are \$714 million from CalWORKs and SSI/SSP, \$195 million from In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS), and \$542 million obtained by reducing "Senior Citizens' Renters' Tax and Property Tax Assistance programs, shifting the cost of certain prenatal services to federal funds, and additional revenues from stepped up tax enforcement efforts" (CBP).

Within the regular General Fund budgetary items, CBP (February 2005) has identified numerous social policy programs slated for reductions or changes, including the following along with some implications:

* The budget would not fund operating cost increases in the Adoption Assistance, Adult Protective Services, Foster Care, and [IHSS] programs for the fifth consecutive year

- These and other program reductions are "squeezing" county-run programs and compromising the quality and availability of local services.... Reductions in programs are related to making it more difficult to keep families together, are undermining counties' ability to recruit foster and adoptive parents, and are reducing supportive services for families and children. Reductions have also reduced investigations of elder abuse,... eroded the comprehensive welfare-to-work approach of

CalWORKs,... and reduced Food Stamps efforts....

* Restructuring the Medi-Cal Program by expanding the use of managed care (expect major implications for the current system of healthcare use and reimbursement); establishing an alternative hospital financing structure; capping adult dental services; requiring certain Medi-Cal recipients to pay monthly premiums; using a centralized vendor, rather than counties, to process Medi-Cal applications for children (currently referrals to other services are facilitated based on proximity knowledge).

The Bush administration released its federal fiscal year 2006 \$2.6 trillion proposed budget on February 7. It targets numerous domestic programs for reductions. The CBP points out that the administration "seeks to impose multi-year spending caps on 'discretionary' spending for programs that require annual appropriations, putting defense (proposed FFY 2006 increase of 4.8 %) and domestic programs (proposed FFY 2006 decrease of 1% for discretionary programs, including education, housing and environmental protections) into competition for funding."

The CBP further notes that "spending on domestic discretionary programs would be reduced by \$214 billion over five years if spending caps are adopted. Spending on mandatory programs, such as Medicaid and food stamps, would fall by \$137 billion over 10 years." Based on the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities summaries, California's federal funding in major social policy areas will fall 10 to 14 % (e.g., special education aid will fall 11% - \$815.8 million - between FFY 2006 and FFY 2010). The CBP points out that "an estimated 52,900 fewer low-income California families would receive Section 8 vouchers in FFY 2010 than in FFY 2005." Also proposed is the merging of 18 community development programs into a new megablock grant program - "Strengthening America's Communities" - to be administered by the Commerce Department. Thus far, Congress is resisting elements of the SAC proposal.

The "double whammy" recoil is still reverberating for safety net advocates.

~~~Sally Rivera, LWV/LACo Social Policy Director

## Universal Preschool in California and LA County (LA-UP)

First 5 Children & Families Commission, organized with Prop 10 (cigarette tax) money, is getting underway in bringing universal preschool to California. They are now (1) setting up criteria for counties to obtain matching funds; and (2) producing a statewide campaign, Preschool California, to educate the public about preschool benefits, in cooperation with the Lucille and David Packard Foundation. Their ads are on TV.

The benefits are well documented. The Perry Preschool Study recently released findings on children who 40 years ago participated in the original preschool study. As adults those participants have had fewer arrests; more are employed and earnings are higher, more own their own homes, and more have a bank account. They have more education, pay taxes and fewer are on welfare—all these are characteristics in relation to comparable children who had no preschool. These benefits add up to a \$12.90 return to society for every \$1.00 spent on quality preschool. Indications are strong that these benefits to society can be multiplied with an increase in preschool education.

The public is increasingly convinced. A recent California statewide survey among registered voters showed 85% support a state role in funding for preschool and 55% indicated willingness to pay more taxes for preschool. (Hart Research-Tarrance Group for Preschool CA; CA Dept. of Public Instruction Budget Workshop 1/28/05; High/Scope Press Release 11/18/04)

### LA County

Administration of funds will be county based. In LA County, an advisory committee of over 100 people was formed to present a vision and process to develop universal preschool. Ten committees used this structure: Curriculum, Training, Facilities Evaluation and Research, Special Needs and Diversity. After 18 months, 6 big notebooks of materials and recommendations, the Los Angeles Universal Preschool Master Plan was produced. Much of the following information comes from that document as well as other sources that are indicated.

The question has been asked, “Why Universal?” Answer, “Because it aims for universal benefits.” Poverty as criterion is too restricted to bring social benefits. Political success depends on benefits to everyone, not just one group against others. Emphasis has shifted from benefits to children and families to investment for society. Therefore, LA-UP is based on 5 Big Ideas. The LA-UP program will be universal (voluntary), have high quality programs, be diverse and LWV/LACo VOTER

inclusive, connected to parents and community and sustainable over the long run. Its goals are to prepare children for success in school and life and to create new capacity for preschool in needy areas. There will be center-based programs which may be private, subsidized, general child care, faith-based, school-based; in State Preschool and Head Start. There will also be programs with CalWORKS, Alternative Payment and Family Child Care sites.

With \$500 million dollars from the Commission, First 5 LA set up a non-profit corporation to operate LA-UP. A delay caused by a disagreement with the LA County Board of Supervisors about how many supervisorial representatives should be on the Board of Directors, was resolved by an agreement to have 5 representatives from the LA Co. Board of Supervisors and 8 members chosen by the original advisory committee. This board will oversee fund raising, policy making and day-to-day operations. They began their work in July 2004 and plan to start serving children by March 2005.

The LA-UP programs will be for 3 1/2 hours per day. There will be funding for enhancement to upgrade programs, funding for new programs and funding for facilities. There are standards and a 5 Star Rating System to evaluate programs. Standards are based on number of children per class, the ratio of adults to children, qualifications of staff and the ECCRS instrument (well known in the field). There will be a one-time investment fee charged to parents in the program. Funds may be spent for quality preschool services and compensation for staff. For full day programs, “wrap around” funding will be based on eligibility; those parents must be working or attending school.


The programs qualifying for LA-UP grants were to be chosen by lottery. As of February 1, 2005 the lottery has taken place and visitation sessions to the sites that had applied and were qualified, were to be completed by February 15. The lottery to make the final choices has taken place. Programs are being notified of their acceptance or rejection. There will be no re-application at this time for those not receiving funding. As well as funding enhancement sites, there apparently will be 1 new facility per “hot zone” in each supervisorial district. (A “Hot zone” is an area that is highly impacted with numbers of children un-served, and concomitantly where there are few facilities and possible sites available). Later there will be 15 “hot zone” sites throughout the county.

~~Katie Gerecke, LWV Claremont Area, March 2005 VOTER

# LOS ANGELES COUNTY TAXES – 101

In trying to analyze how the County earns and spends its money and what part the individual property owner plays in the mix, I have decided to make use of pie charts to help us all understand the process. Note also that the unincorporated areas have a specialized budget of their own.


Presently, the County's money comes from 4 sources shown below on the right: Property taxes – 18%, State Assistance – 29%, Federal Assistance – 28%, and Other (Fees, etc.) – 25% and totals \$14.6 Billion (2005-2006). From this considered and analyzed sum collected by the County, the County CAO David Janssen proposes a budget to the Supervisors in the following way:



This year, the largest spending proposed is for Social Services – 32%, Health – 30%, Public Protection (Sheriff, Fire, Disaster Preparedness) – 25%, and Other (Public Works, Housing, Community Development) – 13%.

Then, from that money a certain amount, \$962.22 Million for 2005-2006, is set aside for Unincorporated Area Services. A summary of that is shown below. Notice that the greatest amount in the unincorporated areas is spent on Public Works, with the Sheriff and the Fire Protection District following close behind.


**UNINCORPORATED AREA SERVICES PROGRAM SUMMARY**  
GROSS APPROPRIATION: \$962.22 Million



Finally, what taxes does an individual property owner pay as property taxes to Los Angeles County? The pie chart below shows an example of a property tax bill for a residential property with an assessed value of \$400,000; currently, a median income home in Los Angeles County. I used my own bill to figure out the percentage numbers for this hypothetical home and you can do the same with your bill. There is a basic 1% tax on the assessed value of a residential property with, like other bills we receive, additional charges as noted.

As you can see, the majority of the money goes into the General Fund of the County. Special Districts are funded by specific taxes and assessments. Special Districts are separate legal entities that provide public Improvements and services to benefit targeted properties and residents. Examples of Special Districts included in the County Budget are the Garbage Disposal Districts and the Sewer Maintenance Districts, while there are other local Special Districts such as the Metropolitan Water District and regional Mosquito Abatement Districts.

***Example of an Individual Residential Property Owner’s Annual County Property Tax Bill***



| Los Angeles County                  | Percentage | Assessed Value \$400,000.00 |
|-------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| County General Tax Levy             | 1.0        | 4,000.00                    |
| Voted County Bonds                  | 0.000923   | 3.69                        |
| Metropolitan Water District         | 0.0058     | 23.20                       |
| Flood Control                       | 0.000245   | 0.98                        |
| Community College District          | 0.016558   | 66.23                       |
| Unified Schools                     | 0.16912    | 67.65                       |
| <b>Special Districts</b>            |            |                             |
| Metropolitan Water District Standby | 0.003566   | 14.26                       |
| Sanitation District #5              | 0.025462   | 101.85                      |
| Torrance Library District           | 0.005387   | 21.55                       |
| Trauma/Emergency Services           | 0.0161086  | 64.43                       |
| Flood Control District              | 0.0063296  | 25.32                       |
| County Park District                | 0.0053491  | 21.40                       |
| LA West Mosquito Abatement          | 0.0026001  | 10.40                       |
|                                     |            | <b>Total Tax</b>            |
|                                     |            | <b>\$4,420.96</b>           |

We hope that through these few pie charts and data that you have become aware of the overall picture about your Los Angeles County taxes; how the County earns and spends its revenue, the expenses in the unincorporated areas, and your individual tax expenses.

~~Lola Ungar, Natural Resources & Local Land Use

# **\*\*POPOVERS\*\*IN\*\*PASADENA\*\***

**LWV-LACounty\*\*\*SUMMER LEAGUE DAY\*\*\*Saturday, JULY 23**

**Women's City Club  
160 North Oakland  
Pasadena**

**Registration: 9:30 a.m.**

**Meeting: 10 a.m.**

**Cost: \$25 if RSVP is postmarked by July 11  
\$30 if RSVP is postmarked after July 11 and received by July 15**

**(Cost includes morning coffee/tea and lunch)**

**Workshops: Trying to Achieve Health Care for All  
Preschool for All Act (Pre-K Initiative)  
Affordable Housing Options and Legislation  
Post LWVC Convention Education Study Update and Pending Action**

***Keynote Address: Los Angeles County Health Care System Update  
(Emphases: King/Drew Medical Center and Measure B)***

**League Members Getting Acquainted and Reacquainted  
Luncheon Opportunities to Expand the Conversations  
(eg. Workshop Topics: Mental Health Services Act, Services Planning Areas,  
Parental Notification Initiative,...)**

=====  
**Send reservation and check, payable to LWV/LACo, to:  
LWV/LACo Treasurer, 427 E. Walnut Ave., Burbank 91501**

**Name:\_\_\_\_\_**

**League:\_\_\_\_\_**

**For vegetarian lunch, check here:\_\_\_\_\_**

**If allergic to (edible) nuts, check here:\_\_\_\_\_**



**LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS  
OF  
LOS ANGELES  
League Day**



**Please join us for an informational panel on our current correctional system**

***“The Revolving Door?”***

***What do we know about the process of incarceration?  
Who is in our jails? Why are they there? Why do they return?  
What California laws relate to the inmates and terms of releases?  
What happens to the community, the families of those incarcerated?  
What happens when they transition back to society, what rights are restored?***

**County of Los Angeles  
Hall of Administration  
Employee Relations Conference Room #374A  
500 West Temple Street  
Los Angeles 90012**

**May 13, 2005  
12:30 p.m. - 3:00 p.m.  
*Light Refreshments***

**Panelists will be:  
Los Angeles County Sheriff Baca  
Judge Terry Smerling  
Assemblyman Mark Ridley-Thomas  
Joyce Ride, Women's Advocate  
Mary Weaver, Friends Outside  
Jody Kent, ACLU Monitor  
Curtis Capper, Ex-felon, Community Representative**

**Facilities are wheelchair accessible.**

**Directions: The Hall of Administration at 500 West Temple is directly across the street(s) from the Music Center, the Civic Center subway stop and the new Cathedral of Our Lady of the Angels.**

*The League of Women Voters is a nonpartisan political organization encouraging the informed and active participation of citizens in government. Membership is open to all citizens, men and women, of voting age.*

**Please RSVP or call for further information to Ruth at 818 349 2319,**

**3250 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1005, Los Angeles CA 90010 -Tel. (213) 368-161.6; Fax: (213) 368-1615  
E-mail: [info@lwvlosangeles.org](mailto:info@lwvlosangeles.org) -Website: [www.lwvlosangeles.org](http://www.lwvlosangeles.org)**

May 2005  
League of Women Voters  
Los Angeles County

Margo Reeg, President  
Liz Allen, Editor  
allenlw@aol.com

**Calling all Local League Presidents: Please send a list of your 2005-06 board members to Frances Talbott-White (talbwhite@yahoo.com) ASAP. She needs not only names but also addresses, phone/fax numbers, and e-mail addresses (as available) in order to compile a new LWV/LAC County Yearbook in time for distribution at 'Popovers in Pasadena'.**

Include webmasters and office personnel if you have them. As space permits, ALL board members will be listed, so please send info about positions that may be unique to your League (eg. a UNA Rep? an Observer Corps Chair? a Development Chair? whatever...).

If your League has a website with generic e-mail addresses (e.g. *president@yourleague.org*) on a 'contact us' page, you might prefer to use these rather than your board members' home or business e-addresses. That way, we'll afford greater privacy to our members and more easily stay current when some ISP takeover causes a raft of format changes. Like, when 'att' became 'comcast' and everyone's e-address became wrong.

E-mail and phone reminders re the Yearbook will become increasingly frequent, urgent, maudlin, and **TARGETED** as May gives way and June busts out. So be proactive! Send the info today, and save your inbox from the onslaught.

**SUBSCRIBE TO THE LWV/LACo VOTER**

**Sign Up Today!! For hard copy subscriptions, the subscription is \$10.00 per year; contact Dorothy Larson. If you receive it by e-mail, it's free!!**

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_ Zip \_\_\_\_\_

Local League \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail Address \_\_\_\_\_